

Cosmological constant, dilaton field and Freund-Rubin compactification

Takashi Torii^(a) and Tetsuya Shiromizu^(b,a)

^(a) *Advanced Research Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan*

^(b) *Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan*

(February 1, 2008)

We discuss Freund-Rubin compactification with a cosmological constant and the dilaton field, and examine the stability of spacetime at low energy. Minkowski or de Sitter spacetime can be obtained if the dilation field is turned off, but only anti-de Sitter spacetime is realized in the presence of the dilaton field. The stability of spacetime depends on the dimensionality of spacetime and the compactified space, and the coupling constant of the dilaton field a . In the $a = 1$ case, which corresponds to superstring theories, the anti-de Sitter vacuum is stable at least in the linear level.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 04.65.+e, 11.25.Mj
hep-ph/0210002

Compactification from higher dimensions to four dimensions and stabilization of the compactified space is a long-standing problem. It is well known that Freund-Rubin (FR) compactification [1] naturally introduced from supergravity gives us stable compact dimensions via a balance between the form field and the curvature at the classical level. Spacetime after compactification will become anti-de Sitter (adS) spacetime. Recently the realization of $M_p \times S^q$, where M_p is the p -dimensional Minkowski, de Sitter or adS spacetime, has been discussed, introducing an additional cosmological constant to the total action [2,3]. The subject of stability has also been addressed and some parameter windows open for stable de Sitter or Minkowski as well as adS spacetimes. This is an impressive result, although the new ingredient is just the additional cosmological constant. We would like to point out, however, that a stable background with Minkowski or de Sitter spacetime is not permitted if the (NS) dilaton coupling exists. It is only adS spacetime that is permitted as the background solution. We briefly address the stability of the obtained adS vacuum in what follows.

We begin with the $D (= p + q)$ -dimensional action in the string frame:

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^{p+q}x \sqrt{-\tilde{G}} \left[e^{-2a\Phi} \left(\tilde{R} - 2\Lambda + 4\partial_M \Phi \partial^M \Phi \right) - \frac{1}{2q!} F_q^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where Λ is a positive cosmological constant and F_q is the q -form field. Φ is the (NS) dilaton field and we leave its coupling constant a as a free parameter. Here we do not ask about the origin of Λ .

It is convenient to transform the action to the Einstein frame by the following conformal transformation

$$\tilde{G}_{MN} = \Omega^2 G_{MN}, \quad \Omega^2 = e^{4a\Phi/(D-2)}. \quad (2)$$

Then the action in the Einstein frame becomes

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^{p+q}x \sqrt{-G} \left[R - 2e^{\lambda_c \Psi} \Lambda - \frac{1}{2} \partial_M \Psi \partial^M \Psi \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2q!} e^{\lambda_q \Psi} F_q^2 \Big], \quad (3)$$

where

$$\Psi := \mu \Phi, \quad (4)$$

$$\mu := \sqrt{8 \left[\frac{(D-1)a^2}{D-2} - 1 \right]}, \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda_c := \frac{4a}{(D-2)\mu}, \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda_q := \frac{2a(p-q)}{(D-2)\mu}. \quad (7)$$

In superstring theories, $D = 10$ and $a = 1$ and we find $\mu = 1$, $\lambda_c = 1/2$ and $\lambda_q = (10 - 2q)/5$ [4]. Note that the coupling constant of the dilaton field must satisfy $a^2 > (D-2)/(D-1)$.

We employ the following metric form

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu dx^\nu + e^{2\phi(x)} d\Omega_q^2. \quad (8)$$

Since ϕ does not depend on the internal coordinate, this metric describes the homogeneous mode of the internal space. In this case the form field equation gives the solution

$$F_q = c *_{\mathcal{Q}} 1, \quad (9)$$

and the dilaton field equation becomes

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \Psi + q(\nabla_\mu \phi)(\nabla^\mu \Psi) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Psi}, \quad (10)$$

where $*_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is the Hodge dual in the q -dimensional space and the potential V is

$$V = 2e^{\lambda_c \Psi} \Lambda + \frac{c^2}{2} e^{-2q\phi} e^{\lambda_q \Psi}. \quad (11)$$

The D -dimensional Einstein equations become

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\Psi\partial_\nu\Psi + \frac{2}{D-2}\left[e^{\lambda_c\Psi}\Lambda - \frac{(q-1)c^2}{4}e^{-2q\phi}e^{\lambda_q\Psi}\right]g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (12)$$

$$R_{ij} = \frac{2}{D-2}\left[e^{\lambda_c\Psi}\Lambda + \frac{(p-1)c^2}{4}e^{-2q\phi}e^{\lambda_q\Psi}\right]g_{ij}. \quad (13)$$

When $\phi \equiv 0$, which corresponds to FR compactification with the additional cosmological constant [2,3], the Einstein equations may give $M_p \times M_q$ where M_p is de Sitter, Minkowski or adS spacetime and M_q is sphere, flat space or hyperboloid, depending on the values of Λ and c . Let us find the background solution. Before discussing the dilatonic case, we briefly summarize the non-dilatonic case for comparison.

The non-dilatonic case is realized by imposing $\Psi \equiv 0$ and $\lambda_c = \lambda_q = 0$. The Einstein equations (12) and (13) become

$${}^{(p)}R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{c^2}{2(D-2)}(f - f_p)g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (14)$$

$${}^{(q)}R_{ij} = \frac{c^2}{2(D-2)}(f - f_q)g_{ij}, \quad (15)$$

where ${}^{(p)}R_{\mu\nu}$ and ${}^{(q)}R_{ij}$ are p - and q -dimensional Ricci curvature, respectively, and $f = 4\Lambda/c^2$, $f_p = q - 1$ and $f_q = 1 - p$. Depending on the value of f , the p -dimensional spacetime becomes de Sitter ($f > f_p$), Minkowski ($f = f_p$) or adS ($f < f_p$) spacetime. The adS case includes $\Lambda = 0$, which corresponds to the original FR solution [1]. On the other hand, the q -dimensional space becomes S^q ($f > f_q$), R^q ($f = f_q$) and H^q ($f < f_q$). Hence the q -dimensional space is no longer compact without compactification by suitable identifications in the latter two cases. See Ref. [3] for the stability analysis.

In the dilatonic case, the parameter regions of λ_c and λ_q where stationary points of the potential function (11) exist are restricted. When $\Lambda > 0$, the constant dilaton field is realized for $\lambda_c\lambda_q < 0$, which implies that $p < q$. The value of the dilaton field is

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \equiv \Psi_c &:= \frac{1}{\lambda_c - \lambda_q} \ln \left| \frac{c^2\lambda_q}{4\lambda_c\Lambda} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_c - \lambda_q} \ln \left| \frac{(p-q)c^2}{8\Lambda} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

This point is the minimum of the potential, independent of the parameters.

When $\Lambda = 0$, $\lambda_q = 0$ (i.e. $p = q$) gives the constant dilaton field. In this case, the dilaton field is regarded as a massless scalar field with the flat potential $V \equiv c^2/2$. Hence it takes an arbitrary constant value.

When $\Lambda < 0$, the solution with a constant dilaton field exists only when $\lambda_c\lambda_q > 0$, which implies that $p > q$ except for $p - q = 2$. The value of the dilaton field is also given by Eq. (16). For $p - q = 1$, the stationary point Ψ_c is the local maximum, while it is the local minimum for $p - q > 2$.

The Einstein equations (12) and (13) become

$$R_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{p-1}{\ell_p^2}g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (17)$$

$$R_{ij} = \frac{q-1}{\ell_q^2}g_{ij}, \quad (18)$$

where the curvature radii are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p-1}{\ell_p^2} &= \frac{q-1}{\ell_q^2} = \frac{1}{4}c^2e^{\lambda_q\Psi_c} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{c^2}{4} \left| \frac{(p-q)c^2}{8\Lambda} \right|^{-\frac{p-q}{p-q-2}} & (p \neq q), \\ \frac{c^2}{4} & (p = q) \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Hence the background p -dimensional spacetime is always adS and the q -dimensional space is sphere independently of the cosmological constant. It should also be noted that existence of the solution depends on the sign of the cosmological constant and dimensions p and q . These are direct effects of including the dilaton field.

Before we proceed to the stability analysis of the FR type solutions obtained above, we derive the p -dimensional effective theory to address their stability. The D -dimensional action (3) is reduced to the p -dimensional action as

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^p x \sqrt{-g} e^{q\phi} \left[{}^{(p)}R + \frac{q(q-1)}{\ell_q^2} e^{-2\phi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \Psi D^\mu \Psi + q(q-1) D_\mu \phi D^\mu \phi \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2e^{\lambda_c\Psi} \Lambda - \frac{c^2}{2} e^{\lambda_q\Psi} e^{-2q\phi} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Here we have used

$${}^{(q)}R = \frac{q(q-1)}{\ell_q^2}, \quad (21)$$

and the assumption that ϕ is the homogeneous mode. It is derived from the form field equation $d(e^{\lambda_q\Psi} * F) = 0$ that a homogeneous perturbation of the form field decouples from other fluctuations, while it couples for inhomogeneous modes [3].

We again perform the conformal translation to put the action into canonical form:

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{\frac{2q}{p-2}\phi} g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (22)$$

Then we have the p -dimensional effective action

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^p x \sqrt{-g} \left[{}^{(p)}\bar{R} - \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \Psi D^\mu \Psi - \frac{q(D-2)}{p-2} D_\mu \phi D^\mu \phi - U(\Psi, \phi) \right], \quad (23)$$

where the potential is

$$U(\Psi, \phi) = e^{-\frac{2q}{p-2}\phi} \times \left[-\frac{q(q-1)}{\ell_q^2} e^{-2\phi} + 2e^{\lambda_c \Psi} \Lambda + \frac{c^2}{2} e^{\lambda_q \Psi} e^{-2q\phi} \right]. \quad (24)$$

From now on we investigate the stability of the FR-type solutions. We showed that the p -dimensional background spacetime is always adS. It should be noted that the adS spacetime can be stable for some cases even in the presence of a tachyonic scalar field. We start from the positive mass theorem in adS spacetime [5,6].

Let us begin with the derivation of Breitenlohner and Freedman's stability condition using the positive energy theorem. If the potential of the scalar fields can be written in the form

$$U = 2(p-2)^2 G^{XY} \frac{\delta W}{\delta \Phi^X} \frac{\delta W}{\delta \Phi^Y} - 2(p-1)(p-2)W^2, \quad (25)$$

the positivity of the total energy is guaranteed in the Einstein frame [5]. Indeed, the total energy can be expressed as

$$M_{\text{AD}} = \int_\Sigma d^{p-1}x \left[2|\hat{D}\epsilon|^2 + \sum_X |\delta\lambda^{(X)}|^2 \right], \quad (26)$$

where Σ is the asymptotically AdS_p space-like hypersurface, $\hat{D}_\mu := D_\mu + iW(\Phi)\gamma_\mu$ with the spinor covariant derivative D_μ , and ϵ is the spinor satisfying the Witten equation,[†] $\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \gamma^a \hat{D}_a \epsilon = 0$. The spinor $\delta\lambda^{(X)}$ is defined by

$$\delta\lambda^{(X)} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[i f_Y^{(X)} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Phi^Y + 2(p-2) f^{(X)Y} \frac{\delta W}{\delta \Phi^Y} \right] \epsilon, \quad (27)$$

where $f_Y^{(X)}$ is such that

$$G_{XY} =: \sum_Z f_X^{(Z)} f_Y^{(Z)} \quad (28)$$

holds, which can be thought of as the orthonormal basis of the target space.

In the perturbative approach, we expand U and W as

$$U = U_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_X m_X^2 (\bar{\phi}^X)^2, \quad (29)$$

$$W = W_0 + \sum_X W_1^X \bar{\phi}^X + \frac{1}{2} \sum_X (W_2^X)^2 (\bar{\phi}^X)^2. \quad (30)$$

Here we have diagonalized the target space metric. From the zeroth order equation of Eq. (25), we find

$$W_0^2 = \frac{1}{2\ell_p^2}, \quad (31)$$

$$W_1^X = 0. \quad (32)$$

Here we have used $U_0 = -(p-1)(p-2)/\ell_p^2$. The second order equation gives

$$\sum_X \left[4(p-2)^2 (W_2^X)^2 - 2(p-1)(p-2) W_0 W_2^X - \frac{1}{2} m_X^2 \right] (\bar{\phi}^X)^2 = 0. \quad (33)$$

The condition that a real root of W_2^X exists is

$$(p-1)^2 (p-2)^2 W_0^2 + 2(p-2)^2 m_X^2 \geq 0, \quad (34)$$

which is rewritten in the form

$$m_X^2 \ell_p^2 \geq -\frac{(p-1)^2}{4}. \quad (35)$$

This is just the stability bound obtained by Breitenlohner and Friedmann in 4-dimensional adS spacetime [8] and extended to general dimension in [9,10,5].

The potential (24) is expanded around the background solution $\phi = 0$, $\Psi = \Psi_c$ as

$$U(\Psi, \bar{\phi}) = U(\Psi_c, 0) + \frac{1}{2} m_\Psi^2 (\Psi - \Psi_c)^2 + m^2 (\Psi - \Psi_c) \bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \bar{\phi}^2, \quad (36)$$

where

$$m_\Psi^2 = \frac{p-q-2}{2(p-q)} \lambda_q^2 c^2 e^{\lambda_q \Psi_c}, \quad (37)$$

$$m_\phi^2 = \frac{1}{2(D-2)} [(2q-1)(p-2) + q] c^2 e^{\lambda_q \Psi_c}, \quad (38)$$

$$m^2 = -\sqrt{\frac{q(p-2)}{2(D-2)}} \lambda_q c^2 e^{\lambda_q \Psi_c}. \quad (39)$$

We can observe that

$$m_\Psi^2 = m^2 = 0, \quad m_\phi^2 = \frac{p-1}{2} c^2, \quad (40)$$

for $p = q$.

The linear perturbation equation is

$$D_\mu D^\mu \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ \bar{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ \bar{\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (41)$$

[†]The existence of this solution should be able to be confirmed by methods similar to those in Ref. [7]

where the mass matrix is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_\Psi^2 & m^2 \\ m^2 & m_\phi^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

This equation is diagonalized as

$$D_\mu D^\mu \chi_\pm = m_\pm^2 \chi_\pm, \quad (43)$$

where

$$m_\pm^2 = \frac{e^{\lambda_q \Psi_c} c^2}{2} \left[B \pm \sqrt{B^2 + \frac{D-2}{p-q} \lambda_q^2} \right], \quad (44)$$

$$A = (2q-1)(p-2) + q > q, \quad (45)$$

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{p-q-2}{p-q} \lambda_q^2 + \frac{A}{(D-2)} \right]. \quad (46)$$

In the present case, the stability bound (35) is expressed as

$$F_1(p, q, a) := \frac{p-1}{2} + B - \sqrt{B^2 + \frac{D-2}{p-q} \lambda_q^2} \geq 0. \quad (47)$$

It should be emphasized that this condition is independent of the cosmological constant Λ . This is in contrast with the non-dilatonic case where the criterion strongly depends on Λ [3].

Since our model has three parameter p , q and a , it is difficult to express the condition for stability explicitly in the general case. So let us focus on some specific cases.

Since the perturbation equation (43) has always one tachyonic mode for $p > q$ ($\Lambda < 0$, $\lambda_c \lambda_q > 0$), the background solution corresponds to the saddle point of the potential. On the other hand, for $p \leq q$ ($\lambda_c \lambda_q \leq 0$), B is positive semidefinite and $m_\pm^2 \geq 0$. Hence the adS vacuum is stable independently of the dilaton coupling constant in this case. When we consider higher-dimensional theories with $D \geq 10$, such as superstring/M theories, our result shows that Freund-Rubin compactification gives us stable 4- or 5-dimensional spacetime.

One might be interested in the case $a = 1$. In this case $\lambda_q = (p-q)/\sqrt{2(D-2)}$ and $B = (D-2)/4 > 0$. The condition for the stability becomes

$$2p^2 + (q-7)p + q + 3 \geq 0. \quad (48)$$

It is easy to see that this holds for $p \geq 3$. So we can conclude that the adS vacuum is stable.

For general a , however, there are parameter windows for the unstable solutions. This can easily be seen for $a \simeq (D-2)/(D-1)$. In this case $\lambda_q \gg 1$ and

$$F_1 \simeq \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{D-2}{p-q-2}. \quad (49)$$

For example, for $p-2 = q+1$, $F_1 \simeq -(3/2)q < 0$. Thus, this case is definitely unstable.

Let us summarize the present work. We considered the effect of the dilation field on FR compactification with a cosmological constant. As a result, Minkowski or de Sitter background spacetimes cannot be realized, while the only solution compatible with the dilaton field is adS spacetime. We also addressed the latter's stability. We know that the adS spacetime obtained from FR compactification in the non-dilatonic case is stable. On the other hand, as expected, we find an unstable background solution if the dilaton coupling exists. For the string coupling case ($a = 1$), the solution is stable at low energy.

We have considered several specific cases in the low-energy limit (homogeneous perturbation). Hence it should be noted that our stability analysis is not definitive. Especially it is reported that instability does not come from the homogeneous mode but inhomogeneous modes in the non-dilatonic cases [3]. A more systematic study, possibly including non-linear stability analysis, would be a valuable subject for future study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Kei-ichi Maeda and Daisuke Ida for fruitful discussions. We also give special thanks to James Overduin for reading this manuscript carefully. TS's work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan (No. 13135208, No.14740155 and No.14102004).

-
- [1] P. G. O. Freund and M. A. Rubin, Phys. Lett. **B97**, 233 (1980).
 - [2] S. M. Carroll, J. Geddes, M. B. Hoffman and R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 024036(2002).
 - [3] R. Bousso, O. DeWolfe and R. C. Myers, hep-th/0205080.
 - [4] The different type of the spontaneous compactification by the quantum effect is also discussed in type IIB superstring theory by K. Uzawa and K. Maeda. Private communications.
 - [5] P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **B148**, 55 (1984).
 - [6] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, Commun. Math. Phys. **79**, 23(1981); E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **80**, 381(1981); J. M. Nester, Phys. Lett. **A83**, 241(1981).
 - [7] G. W. Gibbons, C. M. Hull and N. P. Warner, Nucl. Phys. **B218**, 173(1983).
 - [8] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, Phys. Lett. **B115**, 197 (1982).
 - [9] L. Mezincescu and P. K. Townsend, Ann. Phys. **160**, 406 (1985).
 - [10] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, Ann. Phys. **144**, 249 (1982).